Novel perspectives on the association between dairy, dairy fat and cardiometabolic health





















Low fat van Melij 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 1.82% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] Chrichton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] Barr 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] Vand (95% Cf) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Image: Constraint of the const	Now fat 1.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 6.63, 8.95 inchon 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 7.64 36 21.6% 0.00 [7.15, 7.15]	9 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] 3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 80.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] ◀	Increased dairy Usual diet Mean Difference Mean Difference
van Meili 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 36 18.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] Chrichton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] Barr 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] Total (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Image: Comparison of the comparison of	Mellij 2011 3.09 16,62 35 1.93 16,62 35 1.2% 1.16 [-6,63,8.95] ichton 2012 7.73 15,47 36 7.73 15,47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] r 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] al (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	9 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.83, 8.95] 3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	udy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl]
Naming 2011 3.09 10.04 30 1.93 16.02 35 16.2% 11.016/0.03,0.89] Ohrichton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 21.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00[-7.15,7.15] Barr 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] Total (95% CD) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [4.74, 1.91] Whenesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] Palacios 2003 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81] Stancille 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 0.15.47 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81] Barran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0.15.47 11.160 [1.60, 21.60] Barran 1990 15.0 15.47 19.10% 13.14 [-26.78, 0.50] Barran 1990 15.47 20 0.15.47 19.10% 13.14 [-26.78, 0.50] Barran 1990 15.47 20 0.15.47 19.10% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] Barran 1990 15.47 20 0.58 15.47 19.19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62]	Intern 2011 5.00 10.02 35 1.05 10.02 35 1.05 10.02 35 1.07 10.02 35 1.07 10.02 35 1.07 10.02 35 1.07 10.02 36 7.15 10.02 36 7.15 10.02 36 7.15 10.02 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 7.13 15.47 36 2.16 30.06 -2.71 7.00, 1.58	10042 30 1.05 10042 30 1.05 10042 30 3 1547 36 21.05% 0.001/7.15,7.15] 5 1547 98 1.16 1547 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] ◆	udy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] _ow fat
Interformation 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.0% 0.00 [r.15, r.15] Barr 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [r.00, 1.58] Iotal (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Image: state stat	Infrint 2012 7.7.5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 30 2.18.% 0.2019[7.15,7.15] 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 80.1% -2.71[7.00,1.58] al (95% CD) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] ♥hole fat	3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.070 0.00 (7.15, 7.15) 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 (7.00, 1.56) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [4.74, 1.91] ◀	utý or Stubgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl]
Contraction FLOD	al (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]		uty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl]
Total (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Whole fat	al (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	utty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] N, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl]
Whole fat wennesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] Palacios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1%	Vhole fat		utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] <
Wennesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] *atacios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [+22.51, 7.81] *atacios 2009 1.93 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [+26.78, 0.50] aran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 18.0% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] Fardy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.69 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] ** 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] ** * 160 160.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90] **			utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl]<
Palacios 2009 1 93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [+2.25,1,7.81] Stancliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [+26.78, 0.50] Stancliffe 2011 1.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] Stanch 1990 1.1.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] Stanch 1990 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.82] Stantar 2019 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 5.09 [4.20, 23.82] Stantar 2019 5.00 15.47 19 19.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96]	7 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[-17.96,17.96]	utby or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV
Stancliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [-26.78, 0.50] Baran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.160 [1.60, 21.60] Baran 2000 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 28.62] Benatar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] Fotal (95% Ct) 180 190.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90] Image: constant 200.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35[-22.51, 7.81]	3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	uty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl] <thm< td=""></thm<>
Baran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.160[14.60, 21.60] Tardy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.69 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91[4.20, 23.62] Benetar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80[0.22, 11.38] Total (95% Ct) 180 190.0% 3.30[-4.30, 10.90] Image: Contract of the second s	ncliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [-26.78, 0.50]	3 1547 20 541 27 20 146% -131462678.0501	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total
Tardy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] Benatar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] Total (95% Cl) 180 180.100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90] Image: Close	an 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60]	5 15.41 20 5.41 21 20 14.570 -15.14 20.10, 0.50	uty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Start Star Start Start
Benatar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] Total (95% Ct) 180 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	dy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62]	5 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60[1.60,21.60]	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total
Total (95% CI) 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	natar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38]	6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] 2 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62]	Unty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Star [mg/dl] Total
	al (95% Cl) 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	5 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] 2 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] 2 15.06 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38]	Utły or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Star 35 1.6 36.7 35 35 1.6 36.7 36 2.1.8 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Star 1.1.6 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl] Total Star<
Total (05% Cl) 340 353 100 0% 1 85 L2 89 6 601		5 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] 2 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] 2 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	uty or Stubgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total
Heterogeneity Tau ² = 20 40° Chi ² = 22 10 df = 8 (P = 0.005)° P = 64%	al (95% Cl) 349 353 100.0% 1.85 L2.89, 6.601 🌰	5 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] 2 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] 2 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90] -	utg or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Weight V, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dil] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dil] VV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dil] Low fat 1 1 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 1.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] 1 1 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 1 169 173 100.0% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] - Atal (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] - Mole fat
-20 -10 0 10 2	al (95% Cl) 349 353 100.0% 1.85 [-2.89, 6.60]	6 1547 20 0 1547 17 186% 11.60.[1.60,21.60] 2 1547 20 -8.89 1547 19 19.0% 13.914.20,23.82] 2 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90] - 22.10.4(5.82, 0.05) F.56% - -	utły or Subgroup Mean [mg/di] SD [mg/di] Total Meen [mg/di] SD [mg/di] Total Weight V, Random, 95% Cl [mg/di] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/di] ow fat
ncliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [26.78,0.50]	acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] N, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] IV
nating 2011 - 7,73 15,47 20 5,41 27 20 14,6% - 13,147,26,0,050	acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 -22.51,7.81	3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] N, Random, 95% CI
ran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 18.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] natar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.60 [0.22, 11.80] tat (95% Ct) 180 180 180 180 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	ncliffe 2011 -7.7.3 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14.F26.78.0.501	3 1547 20 541 27 20 146% -1314/2678 0.501	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Meeing (Mig) Total Meeing (Mig) N, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] N n Mello 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.9.3 16.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] Image: Condom 1.158
tran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] ratar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.8] tal (95% ct) 180 180.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	ncliffe 2011 -7.73 1547 20 541 27 20 14.6% -13.14 -26.78 0.501	3 1547 20 541 27 20 146% -1314 26 78 0 501	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Meen [mg/dil] Total Meen [mg/dil] N, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] N richton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] Image: Condom 1.58]
Marking 2011 -7.73 1647 20 0.41 22 20 1609 10.16 [20.70,030] rdy 2009 512 15 1547 20 0 15.47 17 18.68 11.60 [16.00, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] matar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] tal (95% Ct) 180 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]			utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Meeing (Mi) Total Meeing (Mi) N, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV I
ancliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [26.78, 0.50] wran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] wran 2990 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 28.52] wrat 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] tal (95% Ct) 180 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81]	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil]
ancliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [+26.78, 0.50] rran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.160 [1.60, 21.60] rran 1990 5.02 15.47 20 -8.9 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 28.62] rnatar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] tal (95% Ct) 180 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [-4.30, 10.90]	acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35[-22.51, 7.81]	3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.351-22.51,7.81	uty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] ow fat
matches 20109 1.9.3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1%	nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96]	7 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96]	utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] N, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dil] IV
Jacios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [52.51,7.81] aran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [26.78,0.50] aran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 14.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] tal (95% Ct) 180 180 180 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96]	7 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96]	utby or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] Total Mean [mg/dil] N, Random, 95% CI
annesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[17.36], 17.96] ancliffe 2019 1.9.3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.14 ancliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [-26.76, 0.50] ran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.80 [1.60, 21.60] vig 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.91 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.82] anatar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38]			uby or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight V, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] I
annesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[+7.96, 17.96] lacios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [+22.51, 7.81] ancille 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [+26.78, 0.50] aran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.80 [1.60, 21.60] rdy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] anlar 2013 2.32 15.08 -3.48 15.47 19 12.0% 5.50 [0.22, 11.36]			utdy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dil] SD [mg/dil] Total Meen [mg/dil]
nnnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[17.36, 17.96] lacios 2009 1.9.3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.14 lacios 2009 1.9.3 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.14 ncliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 ran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.160 v(2 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 natar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 0.22, 21.138]	AND		uby or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight V, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] I
innesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[17.96,17.96] lacios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% ran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14[26.78,0.50] ran 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.80[1.60, 21.60] vig 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.39[4.20, 23.62] natar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38]	A DESCRIPTION AND THE ADDRESS	3 FLL FO 0.03 10 F F3 10.04/ 0.00113.00 13.001	uby or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight V, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] I
nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00[+7.96, 17.96] acios 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [22.57, 7.81] ncliffe 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [26.78, 0.50] an 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] value 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] al (95% Ct) 180 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	AND		wty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl]
nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] aclos 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [52.51, 7.81] aclos 2009 1.93 15.47 20 54.1 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [26.76, 0.50] an 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.80[1.60, 21.60] dy 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.01 [4.20, 23.62] atar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 15 23.29% 5.60 [0.2, 11.36]			dy or Subgroup Mean [mg/kit] SD [mg/kit] Total Mean [mg/kit] Mean [mg/kit] <thm< td=""></thm<>
Incluite 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] aclos 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [+2.5, 7.81] aclos 2009 1.93 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [+26.78, 0.50] an 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] ay 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] atlar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.50 [0.22, 11.38] atley 5% Cp 180 180 [100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 10.90]	vilore lac		dy or Subgroup Mean [mg/kil] SD [mg/kil] Total Mean [mg/kil] Mean [mg
//hole fat //hole fat nnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] aclos 2009 1.93 15.47 8 9.28 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81] aclos 2019 1.93 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -13.14 [-26.78, 0.50] an 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 17 18.6% 11.80 [1.60, 21.60] y/ 2009 5.02 15.47 20 -8.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 [4 20, 23.62] atar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.36]	/hole fat		dy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] N, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] N
Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction Instruction whole fat	/hole fat		dy or Subgroup Mean [mg/kl] SD [mg/kl] Total Mean [mg/kl] Mean [mg/kl] Total Mean [mg/kl] Mean [
ai (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Whole fat	ai (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] 🔶	169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	uty or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] Mean [mg/dl] <thm< td=""></thm<>
17 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] al (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [4.74, 1.91] ● Vhole fat nesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.07 42.5 57 10.9% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] ● actos 2008 1.93 15.47 8 9.20 15.47 8 13.1% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81] ● ancime 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.6% -11.40 [16.0, 21.60] ● and 1990 11.6 15.47 20 0 15.47 11.80 [16.0, 21.60] ● atar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 19 18.0% 13.91 [4.20, 23.62] ● atar 2013 2.32 15.08 56 -3.48 15.47 59 23.9% 5.80 [0.22, 11.38] ● atar 2015 2.32 18.0 180 100.0% 3.30 [4.30, 30, 00.90] ●	r 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] — al (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] ◀ Vhole fat	5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00,1.58]	Idy'or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] .ow fat Melij 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95]
nichton 2012 7.73 1547 36 7.73 1547 36 21.6% 0.00 [7.15, 7.15] mr 2000 -1.55 1547 98 1.16 1547 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] The second seco	ichton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [7.15,7.15] 7 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] (195% CD) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [4.74, 1.91] ↓ Whole fat	3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] ◆	utý or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] .ow fat
In meta 2011 3.08 16.02 35 1.83 1.022 35 1.10 10.23 10.24 10.24 10.24 10.24 10.24 10.24 10.25 10.24	Intellig 2011 5.05 16.02 35 1.65 10.02 35 11.07 10.47 11.07 10.40 0.016 1.10 10.02 35 11.07 10.47 10.25 0.016 1.10 10.02 35 11.07 10.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.016 1.57,71 15 10.07 10.07 -2.71 17.00, 1.58 -	1 1602 35 1.35 1602 1.16 1602 351 3 1547 36 7.73 1547 36 2.16% 0.00[7.15,7.15] 5 1547 98 1.16 1547 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] -	udy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% Cl [mg/dl] Low fat
Neilig2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.18 [-6.83, 8.95] inchton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] inchton 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] ial (95% Ct) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Whole fat	Mellig 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [4.63,8.95] ichlon 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [7.15,7.15] 12000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [7.00, 1.58] al (95% CD) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Vhole fat	9 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.83,8.95] 3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	udy or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl]
Low rat in Melij 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] in Melij 2011 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.8% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] arr 2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] tal (95% Cl) 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] Whole fat wnnesberg 2009 3.87 54.1 56 3.87 42.5 57 10.8% 0.00 [-17.96, 17.96] ancide 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 27 20 14.8% -7.35 [-22.51, 7.81] ancide 2011 -7.73 15.47 20 5.41 71 71.8% 11.60 [1.60, 21.60] wan 1990 5.02 15.47 20 -6.89 15.47 19 19.0% 13.91 (4.20, 23.62] tal (95% Cl) 190 180 180 100.0% 3.30 [-4.20, 0.90]	.ow fat	9 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.83, 8.95] 3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	udv or Subgroup Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Mean [mg/dl] SD [mg/dl] Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl] IV, Random, 95% CI [mg/dl]
Low fat Image: State	.ow fat Melij 2011 3.09 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 1.82% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] inchon 2012 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 7.73 15.47, 102 0.01 [-7, 15, 7, 15] v2000 -1.55 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 00.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] d195% CD 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91]	8 16.62 35 1.93 16.62 35 18.2% 1.16 [-6.63, 8.95] 3 15.47 36 7.73 15.47 36 21.6% 0.00 [-7.15, 7.15] 5 15.47 98 1.16 15.47 102 60.1% -2.71 [-7.00, 1.58] 169 173 100.0% -1.42 [-4.74, 1.91] •	



Meta-analysis- Prospective cohort studies on SFA vs CVD

Siri-Tarino et al Am J Clin Nutr 2010

	Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	IV, Random, 95% Cl	Year	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Coronary Heart I	Disease		
Shekelle et al(17)	1.11 [0.91, 1.36]	1981	
McGee et al(9) ¹	0.86 [0.67, 1.12]	1984	
Kushi et al(13)	1.33 [0.95, 1.87]	1985	
Posner et al(16)	0.92 [0.68, 1.24]	1991	
Goldbourt et al(35) ¹	0.86 [0.56, 1.35]	1993	
Fehily et al(28)	1.57 [0.56, 4.42]	1994	
Ascherio et al(4) ^{,1}	1.11 [0.87, 1.42]	1996	
Esrey et al(6)	0.97 [0.80, 1.18]	1996	
Mann et al(32)	2.77 [1.25, 6.13]	1997	
Pietinen et al(15)	0.93 [0.60, 1.44]	1997	
Boniface et al(5) ⁷	1.37 [1.17, 1.60]	2002	
Jakobsen et al(8) ⁷	1.03 [0.66, 1.60]	2004	
Oh et al(33)	0.97 [0.74, 1.27]	2005	+
Tucker et al(18) ⁷	1.22 [0.31, 4.77]	2005	
Xu et al(10)	1.91 [0.31, 11.84]	2006	
Leosdottir et al(14)	0.95 [0.74, 1.21]	2007	-
Subtotal (95% CI)	1.07 [0.96, 1.19]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; Chi² = 25.54, df = 1	15 (P = 0.04); I² = 41%	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.22 (P = 0.22)		
			U.U5 U.2 1 5 20
			LOWER ISK WITH SAT HIGHER ISK WITH SAT













Meta-analy CHD	ses and	prospectiv	e cohort stu	dies
	MA	Incl. pop studies	Ν	Addin stud
Total Dairy	2	4-12	>250,000	2
Low fat	2	3-8	>240,000	2
High fat	2	4-7	>274,000	2
Milk	4	6-13	87,000-283,000	3
Cheese	2	2-7	>37,000	2
Yogurt	1	5	NA	2



















- Does milk powder have similar health properties as liquid milk?
- How do different cattle feeding practices, which modify the fatty acid profiles of dairy fat, influence the effect of milk on health?
- Do age, weight status, sex and ethnicity influence the impact of milk consumption on health?
- Is the effect of milk consumption on health outcomes similar among populations with traditionally low vs. high intakes?

UNIVERSITÉ LAVAL

Can J Cardiol (in press)

